

United States Patent and Trademark Office

a

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,243	03/09/2004	John L. Toner	17066.4.1.	2149
57360 WORKMAN N	7590 01/28/2008	•	EXAMINER	
1000 EAGLE (GATE TOWER,		KENNEDY, SHARON E	
60 EAST SOU' SALT LAKE O	TH-TEMPLE CITY, UT 84111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/796,243	TONER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sharon E. Kennedy	1615
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	November 2007	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-13,15,16,25-52,	55,56 and 58-60 is/are withdr	awn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		-
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,14,18-24,53,54 and 57</u> is/are re	ejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	,
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2004 is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	ected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.	·
Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ap	oplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not r	eceived.
Attachment(s)	🗂	
1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Inf	formal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	6) Other:	<u>-</u> ·

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :10/26/2005; 08/08/2005; 06/09/2005 .

Application/Control Number:

10/796,243 Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 3-13, 15, 16, 25-52, 55, 56, 58-60 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on November 2, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 14, 17-24, 53, 54, 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Rowan et al., US 6,872,225. Rowan discloses stent drug delivery using a coating including a pharmaceutical solution. The coating is a polymer including a zwitterionic monomer containing phosphorylcholine which controls the release of the drug. See especially Example 10, column 20, involving a coating prepared with Rapamycin in combination with a HEMA-PC co-polymer. Although Rowan does not discuss LogP values, the values are inherent since the composition claimed by applicant and disclosed by Rowan is the same. For the species election, applicant picked the combination of ABT-578 and phosphorylcholine. As stated in applicant's

10/796,243 Art Unit: 1615

Table I, ABT-578 and Rapamycin have the same LogP values. Thus, the phosphorylcholine copolymer and Rapamycin combination coating of Rowan Example 10 must have the same LogP value comparisons as set forth in applicant's claim 1.

Applicant may argue that the LogP values patentably distinguish the invention however, it is well settled that the discovery of a scientific explanation of an old composition does not render the old composition patentable. See MPEP 2112, portion copied below for applicant's convenience.

I. SOMETHING WHICH IS OLD DOES NOT BECOME PATENTABLE UPON THE DIS-COVERY OF A NEW PROPERTY

"[T]he discovery of a previously unappreciated property of a prior art composition, or of a scientific explanation for the prior art's functioning, does not render the old composition patentably new to the discoverer." Atlas Powder Co. v. Ireco Inc., 190 F.3d 1342, 1347, 51 USPQ2d 1943, 1947 (Fed. Cir. 1999). Thus the claiming of a new use, new function or unknown property which is inherently present in the prior art does not necessarily make the claim patentable. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1254, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). >In In re Crish, 393 F.3d 1253, 1258, 73 USPQ2d 1364, 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2004), the court held that the claimed promoter sequence obtained by sequencing a prior art plasmid that was not previously sequenced was anticipated by the prior art plasmid which necessarily possessed the same DNA sequence as the claimed oligonucleotides. The court stated that "just as the discovery of properties of a known material does not make it novel, the identification and characterization of a prior art material also does not make it novel." Id. < See also MPEP § 2112.01 with regard to inherency and product-by-process claims and MPEP § 2141.02 with regard to inherency and rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon E. Kennedy whose telephone number is 571/272-4948. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Application/Control Number:

10/796,243 Art Unit: 1615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached on 571/272-8373.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Sharon E. Kennedy/ Sharon E. Kennedy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1615